INTRODUCTION

This survey is our first step in soliciting faculty input into the evaluation and selection of a Course/Learning Management System (CMS/LMS) that can meet Monmouth's needs for the next 5-10 years. ITS would like your help in identifying and prioritizing the evaluation criteria we will use.

The following questions will ask you to prioritize the CMS/LMS evaluation criteria listed by selecting the column that best describes its importance for you (Low, Medium or High). If we have missed any criteria, we encourage you to add your own in the final section.

CLEAR Evaluation Criteria

01 Key Tools/Features

Key tools/features related to course management. Please prioritize your evaluation criteria by indicating its importance for you in the appropriate column.

O1-01: What teaching/learning tools should we look for in a CMS/LMS? Please choose the appropriate response for each item Low Medium High

	LOW	weatum	High
Content management			
Threaded discussion			
Email			
Course announcements			
Calendar			
Grade management/			
Gradebook			
Assessment (Tests/Surveys)			
Assignment management/Dropbox			
Chat			
Whiteboard			
Tracking student use and progress			
Managing student submissions/workload			
Content creation			
(HTML editor equation editor WYSWYG)			

01-02: What key features should we look for in a CMS/LMS?

<u>Please choose the appropriate response for each item</u>

	LOW	ivieaium	Higr
Ease of posting course content			
Ability to organize/modularize course content/presentation			
Ability to export/import data (grades, reports, rosters, etc.)			
Ability to customize course "look"			
Ability to interface with other software (i.e. Maple, Respondus, Examview)			
Ability to interface with and availability of course materials from publishers			
Ability to include mathematical formulas and symbols			
Compliance with learning object standards (SCORM, QTI, IMS)			

02 LMS Components

This section addresses key features of Learning Management Systems (LMSs) that may allow us to grow and expand over the long term. Please prioritize these evaluation criteria by indicating their importance for you in the appropriate column.

02-01: What features should we look for that we can expand into?

1 of 4 3/9/2005 5:11 PM

	Please choose the appropriate response for each item			
		Low	Medium	High
	Ability to support a "Portal" entry (different views/access for student, faculty and LMS administrator)			
	Electronic Portfolio capabilities			
	Campus-wide content management (Learning Object repository)			
	Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) to extend capabilities			
1	03 Other considerations and characteris nsiderations and characteristics of potential Learning Managorur evaluation criteria by indicating its importance for you in	ement Sy		I
03-01: Wh	at other characteristics should we consider in selecting	g an LMS	S?	
	Please choose the appropriate response for each item	Low	Medium	High
	Clarity of navigation	Low	Medium	High
	Ease of use by faculty, students and LMS administrators			
	Ongoing costs			
	Transitional costs (course conversion, training/retraining required)			
	Hosting onsite/offsite			
	Ease in integrating with other existing systems (Datatel/Web Advisor)			
	Ease of managing administrative support			
	04 Suggested additional criteria			
04-01: Ple	ase suggested any other criteria you think should be e	valuated		
04 01.110	Please write your answer here:	valuated	4.	

2 of 4

05 Background

This survey is structured as anonymous. To help us in analyzing this and future CMS/LMS survey

data, we would appreciate your sharing some background data with us. 05-01: Please identify your department from the list below. Please choose **only one** of the following: Accounting and Business Law Art and Design Biology Chemistry, Medical Technology and Physics Communication Computer Science Criminal Justice Curriculum and Instruction Economics and Finance Educational Leadership and Special Education English Foreign Language Studies History and Anthropology Management and Marketing Mathematics ■ Music and Theater Arts Nursing Political Science Psychology Social Work Software Engineering Other 05-02: Please select your position: Please choose **only one** of the following: Part-Time/Adjunct Faculty (P-T) / Admin Full Time Instructor Visiting Assistant Professor Specialist Professor Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor Other 05-03: Please indicate how long you have been at Monmouth University: Please choose **only one** of the following: 1 - 3 years 4 - 7 years ■ 8 - 10 years ■ 11 - 15 years

3 of 4 3/9/2005 5:11 PM

■ 16 - 20 years

More than 20 years

05-04: I have been using a CMS for my courses for the following number of semesters:
Please choose only one of the following:
■ 1
□ 3 - 5
□ 6 - 9
□ 10 - 12
■ More than 12
05-05: On average each semester, I use the CMS in % of the courses I teach.
Please choose only one of the following:
0%
<u>25%</u>
33%
□ 50%
66%
□ 75%
100%
Other
,
05-06: I have experience using the following CMSs:
Please choose all that apply
Educator (Monmouth University's current CMS)
WebCT
BlackBoard
Desire2Learn
Other:
05-07: I have used a CMS to teach the following types of courses:
Please choose all that apply
Undergraduate courses (lower level 100-200)
Undergraduate courses (upper level 300-400)
Graduate courses
05-08: I have used a CMS to teach the following formats of courses:
Please choose all that apply
Web-supplemented (posting syllabus and limited content, regular class meeting
schedule)
Web-enhanced (course syllabus, content and activities/discussions happen online,
regular class meeting schedule)
Web-hybrid (meeting 3-6 times face-to-face, most class activities online)
Fully Online (no more than 1-2 face-to-face meetings, all course interactions
happen online)

of 4 3/9/2005 5:11 PM